ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



Patrick H. Merrick, Esq.Director - Regulatory Affairs
AT&T Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th St. NW Washington, DC 20036 202 457-3815

RECEIVED

SEP 1 2000

September 1, 2000

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OF THE SECRETARY

Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte - Access Charge Reform, CC Docket No. 96-262/ Request for Emergency Relief of the Minnesota CLEC Consortium and the Rural Independent Competitive Alliance, DA 00-1067; Mandatory Detariffing of CLEC Interstate Access Services, DA 00-1268

Dear Ms. Salas:

Yesterday afternoon Leon Kestenbaum and Richard Juhnke of Sprint along with Daniel Meron of Sidley & Austin, representing AT&T and I met with Dorothy Attwood, Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau and Tamara Preiss of the Common Carrier Bureau. We spoke generally regarding each companies' position in the matter as well as a joint proposal that would eliminate the growing problem of exorbitant CLEC access charges. Attached is a copy of the document used as an outline to discuss our joint position on high priced CLEC access rates.

No. of Copies ree'd O+2 List ABCDE



In accordance with Section 1.1206(a)(2) of the Commission's rules, two copies of this notice are being submitted to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the public record of the above-captioned proceedings.

Very truly yours,

PA H-M

Attachments

cc: D. Attwood

T. Preiss

I. THE COMMISSION SHOULD RESOLVE EXPEDITIOUSLY THE PROBLEM OF HIGH-PRICED SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICES BY CLECS

- The issue of excessively priced CLEC switched access service is ripe for decision, having been presented to the Commission in a number of proceedings over the past two years.
- The magnitude of the problem posed by excessively priced CLEC switched access services is immense. Sprint estimates that the total industry-wide amount by which CLEC access bills exceed those that would have been due had the CLECs charged ILEC rates to be \$1 Billion annually and growing. Although CLECs currently account for only 2% of switched access MOUs, Sprint estimates that CLEC access bills amount to roughly 10% of total switched access bills.
- The continued uncertainty as to whether CLECs can compel IXCs to pay such excessive charges has frustrated the ability of AT&T and Sprint to settle these disputes. The reality is that many CLECs continue to believe that they have the right to compel IXCs to purchase their services at any rate they set, and thus have no interest in lowering their rates.
- In the absence of a Commission ruling, numerous judicial and administrative actions have been filed and the number of these actions is likely only to grow.
- The Commission's decision in the MGC case does not provide adequate guidance because it was decided on very narrow grounds and applies only where an IXC is attempting to cancel service that the IXC had affirmatively ordered. In the vast majority of situations facing AT&T, for example, AT&T never issued an ASR to these high-priced CLECs and told them in writing that AT&T did not want to purchase their switched access services.
- The Commission's failure to resolve this problem causes significant harm to consumers of long-distance service, who are bearing the cost of these excessively priced CLEC access services.
- II. THE IDEAL LONG-TERM SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM WOULD BE FOR THE COMMISSION TO PROHIBIT CLECS FROM TARIFFING SWITCHED ACCESS RATES THAT EXCEED THOSE OF THE ILEC IN THE SAME TERRITORY.
- Both originating and terminating switched access services are subject to significant market failures.
- CLECs have a bottleneck on switched access to and from their local end user customers. By contrast, CLECs compete with the ILECs for local end user customers, and thus have an incentive to underprice ILECs on retail service, often dramatically. CLECs then seek to take advantage of their bottleneck on access by

overcharging for access, and using those revenues to cross-subsidize their local exchange offerings.

- These market failures have made it possible for many CLECs to set excessive access rates in their switched access service tariffs, rates that are many times higher than those charged by the ILEC in the same geographic territory, while simultaneously arguing that the filed-tariff doctrine compels IXCs to purchase switched access services at their excessive rates.
- ILEC rates are more than fully compensatory for efficient providers, which explains why numerous CLECs have filed tariffs offering switched access services at rates comparable with the ILECs or have voluntarily agreed to charge ILEC rates.
- AT&T and Sprint cannot remain competitive while being compelled to purchase excessively-priced CLEC switched access services.
- The ideal long-term solution to this problem is for the Commission to prohibit CLECs from tariffing switched access rates that exceed ILEC rates. To the extent that CLECs desire to charge above-ILEC rates, they should be permitted to do so only by negotiating voluntary switched access agreements with IXCs, or recover the excess directly from their end-user customers.
- III. THE COMMISSION, AT A MINIMUM, SHOULD CONFIRM THAT CLECS DO NOT HAVE THE POWER TO COMPEL IXCS TO PURCHASE THEIR EXCESSIVELY PRICED SWITCHED ACCESS SERVICES.
- In the absence of a Commission ruling prohibiting CLECs from tariffing switched access rates that exceed those of the ILEC in their territory, the Commission should, at a minimum, confirm that CLECs may not compel IXCs to purchase their excessively-priced switched access services.
- No provision of the Communications Act or the Commission's rules requires an IXC
 to purchase a CLEC's switched access services, so IXCs have the right to choose in
 the first instance to decline to purchase a CLECs switched access service.
- Furthermore, IXCs May Cancel Existing Orders For Switched Access Services Under The Commission's *MGC* Decision.
- Once the Commission confirms that IXCs have the right to decline to purchase
 excessively-priced CLEC switched access services and requires mandatory
 detariffing of above-ILEC access rates, there is every reason to expect that IXCs
 and CLECs will be able to negotiate voluntary switched access agreements or that
 CLECs will file tariffs offering switched access services at rates comparable to the
 ILECs.